



"SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERIES"

International scientific and practical journal

ETHICS OF PUBLICATION

The editorial board of the scientific journal "Scientific Discovery" is guided in its work by the international ethical rules of scientific publications, including the rules of decency, confidentiality, supervision of publications, taking into account possible conflicts of interest, etc. In its activities, the editorial board follows the recommendations of the Committee on Ethics of Scientific Publications (Committee on Publication Ethics) and, in particular, the Elsevier Publishing Ethics Resource Kit.

- When making a decision on publication, the editor of a scientific journal is guided by the reliability of the presentation of data and the scientific significance of the work under consideration.

- The editor must evaluate the intellectual content of manuscripts without regard to the race, gender, religious views, origin, citizenship, social status or political preferences of the authors.

- Unpublished data obtained from manuscripts submitted for consideration should not be used for personal purposes or transferred to third parties without the written consent of the author. Information or ideas obtained during editing related to possible benefits must be kept confidential and not used for personal gain.

- The editor should not allow information to be published if there are sufficient grounds to believe that it is plagiarism.

- The editor, together with the publisher, should not leave unanswered claims regarding the reviewed manuscripts or published materials, and if a conflict situation is identified, take all necessary measures to restore the violated rights. The reviewer carries out a scientific examination of the author's materials, as a result of which his actions must be unbiased, consisting in the implementation of the following principles: - A manuscript received for review must be considered as a confidential document that cannot be passed on for review or discussion by others persons who do not have the authority to do so from the editor.

- The reviewer is obliged to give an objective and reasoned assessment stated results of the study. Personal criticism of the author is unacceptable.

- Unpublished data obtained from those submitted for consideration manuscripts should not be used by the reviewer for personal purposes.

- A reviewer who, in his opinion, does not have sufficient qualifications to evaluate the manuscript, or cannot be objective, for example, in the case of a conflict of interest with the author or organization, must inform the editor about this with a request to exclude him from the review process of this manuscript. The author (or team of authors) is aware that he bears primary responsibility for the novelty and reliability of the results of scientific research, which implies compliance

the following principles:

- The authors of the article must provide reliable results of the studies research. Claims that are known to be erroneous or falsified are unacceptable.

- Authors must ensure that the research results presented in the submitted manuscript are completely original. Borrowed fragments or statements must be formalized with the obligatory indication of the author and the original source. Excessive borrowing, as well as plagiarism in any form, including unquoted, paraphrased, or appropriation of the results of others' research, is unethical and unacceptable.

- It is necessary to recognize the contribution of all persons who in one way or another influenced the course of the research, in particular, the article should provide links to works that were important during the research.

- Authors should not submit to the journal a manuscript that has been submitted to another journal and is under review, as well as an article already published in another magazine.

- Co-authors of the article must include all persons who contributed significantly contribution to the research. Among co-authors, it is unacceptable to indicate persons who did not participate in the study.

- If the author discovers significant errors or inaccuracies in the article at the stage of its consideration or after its publication, he must notify the editors of the journal as soon as possible.